



**Beaver Ruin Creek Greenway Concept Study RFP  
Questions and Answers  
July 26, 2018**

**CID Note on Language in RFP Related to Freight:**

The CID received questions from some prospective proponents regarding language in the RFP that referenced a freight plan. Please note that information related to a freight plan was included in error in the RFP that was initially posted to the CID website. An updated version was subsequently posted, but we understand that some proponents may have downloaded the RFP before the update was made and may have questions regarding the freight study language. Please disregard all mentions of a freight plan and submit only experience for greenway plans based upon the RFP that is currently available on the CID's website. In addition, please note that the Scope of Work was not affected by this change.

**Questions Received by the CID:**

**1. On page B-5; 'Background'- Mention is made of a 'Corridor Study of Indian-Trail Road (2006)' and two subsequent updates to the Corridor Study; in addition, there's mention of a 2012 'Pedestrian and Bicycle Connectivity Study for the Indian Trail and Jimmy Carter LCI Corridors'.**

**Question: Where can proposers get copies of all these documents?**

**Question: Can Gateway85 furnish a link to these documents?**

*The original corridor study, as well as additional transportation studies, can be found on the CID website on the Transportation page under "Transportation Studies." In addition, the most recent update to the Indian Trail-Lilburn road LCI can be found on the project page for the LCI.*

*Transportation Studies: <https://www.gateway85.com/gateway85/transportation/>*

*Indian Trail-Lilburn Road LCI Update: <https://www.gateway85.com/portfolio/indian-trail-lci-2/>*

**2. On page B-10; 'Exhibit A-1'. Question: Where can proposers go to view and study the original of this Exhibit?**

*This exhibit is based upon concepts developed in the 10-year update to the Indian Trail-Lilburn Road LCI study. Information on this study, including the full report, can be found on the CID website at: <https://www.gateway85.com/portfolio/indian-trail-lci-2/>*

**3. Question: The green line is legended as “Recommended Multi-Use Trail Project”, does the entire length of the green line illustrate the extent of the Greenway Concept Study?**

**Question: What does the red circle signify?**

*In Exhibit A-1, the green line illustrates the total length of the path identified in the LCI update. However, the concept study in the RFP is expected to focus on the area highlighted by the red circle in the exhibit. Other components are being designed by Gwinnett County.*

**4. Is consultant, Gateway85, or other (please define) responsible for advertising the public meetings? Page B-8; Task 2-Public Involvement**

*The consultant will have primary responsibility for developing the advertising content for the public meetings; the CID will provide support and access to its resources (e.g. CID social media, website, eblast, etc.).*

**5. Is consultant, Gateway 85, or other responsible (please define) for organizing, and securing meeting space for public and stake holders? Page B-8; Task 2-Public Involvement**

*The CID and consultant will collaborate on organizing and securing meeting space. However, the consultant will be expected to provide recommendations for all project-related meetings and to manage meetings as directed by the CID.*

**6. Is consultant, Gateway85, or other (please define) responsible for coordinating and naming stake holders for the project advisory group? Page B-8; Task 2-Public Involvement**

*The consultant will be expected to draw upon its expertise and provide recommendations. The CID will review and provide input related to the selection of stakeholders and the coordination of the group.*

**7. Are online survey services such as “Survey Monkey” sufficient for obtaining and tracking outreach input? Page B-8; Task 2-Public Involvement**

*Yes, online survey services are an acceptable approach, but they are not sufficient as the sole methodology. The CID will retain the right to review and approve all outreach methodologies.*

**8. Will pedestrian counts/projections be provided?**

*No, the CID does not have data related to pedestrian counts or projections.*

**9. Can concepts be done using GIS?**

*Yes, GIS is acceptable for concepts.*

**10. On page B3, item #2, please clarify the area of experience you would like emphasized for the assigned project manager.**

*It is expected that the project manager will have experience managing the design of greenway projects and/or multiuse trails.*

**11. How wide will the corridor/path be for the SA-01 portion of the project?**

*It is expected that this will be established in the development of a typical section as described in Task 3 of the Scope of Work in the RFP.*

**12. Page B-3, item 5 at the bottom of the page refers to a preferred format for the Fee proposal, but does not refer to Exhibit B-1 Budget Estimate, included in the RFP. Please advise, should we provide only a completed Exhibit B-1, or a fee proposal formatted as noted in item 5, or both?**

*The completion of Exhibit B-1 is sufficient to fulfill the fee proposal requirement in item 5. Breakdown by personnel category may be included in developing B-1 budget.*

**13. We received two related inquiries:**

- **Does the Phase I ESA need to conform to ASTM 1527-13?**
- **On page B-7; 'Work Tasks'-First bullet reads "A detailed survey of existing conditions in the Beaver Ruin Creek area via a Phase I Site Assessment". Question: Is the "Phase I Assessment" meant to conform to ASTM standards?**

*Yes, it is expected that the Phase I ESA will conform with all industry standards, including ASTM 1527-13.*